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## Introduction

- Assembly gaps between modules allow increased levels of radiation to reach components
- Radiation streaming through these gaps needs assessment to be sure components are well protected
- Previous Work:
- T.D. Bohm, M.E. Sawan, P. Wilson, "Radiation Streaming in Gaps between ITER First Wall Shield Modules", Fusion Science and Technology, in press 2009
- L.A. El-Guebaly, M.E. Sawan, "Shielding Analysis for ITER with Impact of Assembly Gaps and Design Inhomogeneities", Proc. 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ International Conference on Radiation Shielding, Arlington, Texas, 24-28 April 1994, p. 1047, 1994


## Introduction continued

- During operation, gaps will close due to thermal expansion and neutron induced swelling
- Will examine range of gap sizes from no gap to some reasonable maximum gap size


## ARIES 3-D Inboard Model

Cross Section of ARIES-AT Power Core Configuration

- Basis is ARIES-AT DCLL radial build by El-Guebaly (1/21/2009 presentation)
- MCNPX v27a 3-D Monte Carlo transport code
- FENDL v2.1 cross section library



## 3-D Model

- 3-D partially homogenized model
- $11.25^{\circ}$ sector ( $1 / 2$ module) ( $\mathrm{w} /$ reflecting boundaries)
- Vertical extent 100 cm (w/ reflecting boundaries)
- Uniform volumetric source $\mathrm{r}=460-625 \mathrm{~cm}$
- IB NWL = 3.4 MW/m²



## No gap model

MADISON

- Sidewalls included
- Manifolds included



## Straight gap model

-1, 2 cm gaps examined

- Gap reaches vacuum vessel



## Stepped gap model <br> WISCONSIN



## No gap-Overall IB flux levels

- Almost 6 orders of magnitude attenuation
- Increased levels behind He manifolds (e.g. WP ~2x)



## dpa Shield Front (2 cm gaps)

- Both gaps lead to strong peaking
- Straight Gap (gap/nogap) $\max =1.3$
- Stepped Gap (gap/nogap) $\max =1.1$



All cases exceed the dpa limit so the front part of shield must be replaceable

## dpa Shield Front (1 cm gaps)

- Reduced dpa levels and peaking compared to 2 cm gaps
- Still exceed the limit



All cases exceed the dpa limit so the front part of the shield must be replaceable

## He production Manifold Front (2 cm gaps)

## WISCONSIN

- Both gaps lead to very strong peaking
- Straight Gap (gap/nogap) $\max =30$
- Stepped Gap (gap/nogap) $\max =8$
- Stepped gap shifts peak
Manifold front



All cases exceed the He production limit so the front part of the manifold is not reweldable (note: new design requires no manifold on IB)

## He production Manifold Front (1 cm gaps)

## WISCONSIN

- Reduced He levels and peaking compared to 2 cm gaps



All cases exceed the He production limit so the front part of the manifold is not reweldable

## He production Vac Vessel Front (2 cm gaps) WISCONSIN

- Straight gap leads to very strong peaking
- (gap/nogap) max $=900$
- Stepped gap not as strong
- (gap/nogap) $\max =1.7$

Vacuum Vessel front



The stepped gap with WC shield block meets the He production limits so the VV is reweldable

## He production Vac Vessel Front (1 cm gaps)

## WISCONSIN madison

- Reduced He levels and peaking compared to 2 cm gaps

Vacuum Vessel front



The stepped gap with WC shield block meets the He production limits so the VV is reweldable

## Fast Fluence Winding Pack Front (2 cm gaps)

- Smoother peaks due to shielding effect of VV
- Straight gap has significant peaking
- (gap/nogap) $\max =9.5$

Winding Pack front



The stepped gap with WC shield block meets the winding pack fast fluence limit

## $\frac{\text { MHE uniyersity }}{\text { WISCONSIN }}$ <br> - Reduced fluence levels and peaking compared with 2 cm gap

Fast Fluence Winding Pack Front (1 cm gaps)

Winding Pack front


The stepped gap with WC shield block meets the winding pack fast fluence limit

## Heating in WC Shield Block (2 cm stepped gap)

 WISCONSINMADISON


Ave. $1.0 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \quad$ Ave $6.2 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$


Ave 3.1 W/cm ${ }^{3}$

Per S. Malang, radiative cooling is feasible if average heating is below $15 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

## dpa in WC Shield Block (2 cm gap)

$17 \mathrm{dpa} / \mathrm{FPY}$

$2.6 \mathrm{dpa} / \mathrm{FPY}$
Materials experts need to decide if WC or W can be used as a structural component

## Conclusions

- Straight gaps allow too much radiation to reach components on the IB side for the ARIES-AT DCLL design
- Stepped gaps with WC shield blocks are needed to protect the IB components
- Will need to account for uncertainty in nuclear data
- Safety factor used with 1-D models should be adjusted accordingly

