Neutronics Analysis of A Self-Cooled Blanket for A Laser Fusion Plant with Magnetic Diversion

#### **Mohamed Sawan**

Fusion Technology Institute University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

C.S. Aplin (UW), G. Sviatoslavsky (UW), I. Sviatoslavsky (UW), A.R. Raffray (UCSD)

> 17th TOFE, Albuquerque, NM November 13-15, 2006





HAPL program is developing laser inertial fusion energy (IFE) based on direct drive targets and a dry wall chamber

- Dry wall must accommodate ion and photon threat spectra from target
- ➤Current HAPL strategy assumes chamber without protective gas and tungsten and ferritic steel as armor and structural materials resulting in a large chamber (~10.5 m radius)
- ➢Parallel effort explores using magnetic diversion to steer ions away from chamber wall

Neutronics issues for blanket designs in the HAPL chamber with magnetic diversion are investigated



#### **Chamber Configuration**



#### Energy Spectra of Source Neutrons and Gammas Used in Neutronics Calculations

#### Used target spectrum from LASNEX results (Perkins)



#### Neutron Wall Loading Distribution



- NWL peaks at 45° polar angle where FW is closest to target and source neutrons impinge perpendicular to it
- Peak NWL is 6 MW/m<sup>2</sup>
- ➢ Average chamber NWL is 4.3 MW/m<sup>2</sup>



### Blanket Design Features

- Self-cooled Li<sub>17</sub>Pb<sub>83</sub> with 90% <sup>6</sup>Li
- Silicon Carbide composite structure
- Utilize concentric channel approach
- FW, annular channel and inner wall thicknesses are each of the order of ~1 cm
- ≻20% SiC structure in blanket
- ≻Self-draining blanket modules
- ≻Maintenance access is via removable shield modules at each pole
- Blanket thickness is 70 cm at mid-plane and increases towards top and bottom of chamber
- Each mid blanket consists of 16 modules, which in turn, consist of five sub-modules



# Blanket Sub-Module Cross-**Sections** A-A $\mathcal{O}$ B-B $\Diamond$ C-C

47 cm wide and 70 cm deep at mid-plane
19.6 cm wide and 106 cm deep at the ends



### Tritium Breeding

- Tritium breeding affected by space taken by ring cusp, point cusps, and beam ports
- Total breeding blanket coverage lost is 8.4%
- For an overall TBR of 1.1 required for tritium selfsufficiency, *the local TBR should be 1.2*



➤With 90% <sup>6</sup>Li and ~1 cm thick SiC FW overall TBR is estimated to be ~1.25

Li enrichment can be used as a knob to control TBR as needed



#### **Blanket Nuclear Heating Profiles**



- Peak power density in LiPb is 89 W/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Peak power density in SiC is 31 W/cm<sup>3</sup>
- Blanket nuclear energy multiplication is 1.185





#### Blanket Thermal Power for 1836 MW Fusion Power

- Blanket coverage 91.6%
- Target yield 367.1 MJ (274.3 n, 0.017 γ, 4.94 x-ray, 87.84 ions)
- > 70% of ion energy dissipated resistively in blanket



• Thermal power in water-cooled 50 cm thick shield is only 11 MW



#### Power Deposited in Dumps for 1836 MW Fusion Power

- Cusp coverage 7.7%
- Target yield 367.1 MJ (274.3 n, 0.017 γ, 4.94 x-ray, 87.84 ions)

> 30% of ion energy dissipated at dump surfaces



### SiC/SiC Composite Lifetime Assessment

- Lifetime of SiC/SiC composites in fusion radiation environment is a major critical issue
- Radiation effects in fiber, matrix, and interface components represent important input for lifetime assessment
- Rates of dpa, He production, H production, and % burnup calculated for both sublattices of SiC fiber/matrix and interface material
- Leading interface material candidates are:
  - Graphite for near-term applications
  - Multilayer or porous SiC for longer-range applications



#### Peak Damage Parameters at Front of FW for LiPb/SiC FW/Blanket

	С	Si	SiC	Graphite
	Sublattice	Sublattice		Interface
dpa/FPY	92	70	81	61
He appm/FPY	7,844	2,174	5,0 09	7,844
H appm/FPY	5	3,900	1,9 53	5
% Burnup/FPY	0.32%	0.60%	0.92	0.32%





## Lifetime Considerations

 $\succ$  The issue was addressed in a recent paper:

M. Sawan, L. Snead, and S. Zinkle, "Radiation Damage Parameters for SiC/SiC Composite Structure in Fusion Nuclear Environment," Fusion Science & Technology, vol. 44, pp 150 – 154 (2003).

- Lifetime of SiC/SiC composites in fusion neutron environment can only now be speculated
- Lifetime depends primarily on effect of He and metallic transmutants such as Al, Be, and Mg
- For a 3% burnup limit (corresponding to 260 dpa, 16,300 He appm, and 6,370 H appm), blanket lifetime is 3.26 FPY
- Determination of effect of transmutations on thermomechanical properties of SiC required for better assessment of SiC lifetime in the HAPL chamber



### Radiation Damage in Shield

A 50 cm thick steel (316SS or FS) shield that doubles as VV is used with 25% water cooling
 Damage determined at location with highest NWL and at location with thinnest blanket

QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and a TIFF (LZW) decompressor are needed to see this picture. QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and a TIFF (LZW) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

- > Peak end-of-life radiation damage in shield is only  $\sim 5$  dpa  $\Rightarrow$  lifetime component
- ≻ He production in 316SS shield is ~2 orders of magnitude higher than in FS
- ➢ Back of the shield/VV is reweldable
- If FS is used rewelding is possible at locations at least 5 cm deep in shield. If 316SS is used rewelding is possible at locations at least 30 cm deep in shield



#### Peak Damage Parameters in Superconducting Cusp Coils

	45° polar angle	45° polar angle	85° polar angle	85° polar angle	Radi ation limit
	FS shi eld	316SS	FS shi eld	316SS	111111
		shi e ld		shi e1d	
End of life fast	$3.48 \times 10^{17}$	$2.47 \times 10^{17}$	7.04x 10 <sup>17</sup>	$5.14 \times 10^{17}$	<b>10</b> <sup>19</sup>
neutron					
fluence $(n/cm^2)$					
End of life	$1.41x \ 10^9$	$1.07 \mathrm{x} \ 10^9$	$2.30 \times 10^9$	$1.76x \ 10^9$	<b>10</b> <sup>10</sup>
ins ulator dose					
(Rads)					
Pe ak po wer	0.067	0.051	0.105	0.082	1
den sity					
$(\mathrm{mW/cm^3})$					

316SS shield provides slightly better magnet shielding
The cusp coils are well protected with the 50 cm shield
No restriction on location of the coils



#### Summary

>All neutronics requirements can be satisfied for a SiC/LiPb blanket in HAPL with magnetic diversion ► Blanket has potential for achieving tritium selfsufficiency with an overall TBR of ~1.25 > At the 6 MW/m<sup>2</sup> peak NWL, peak power density is 89 W/cm<sup>3</sup> in LiPb and 31 W/cm<sup>3</sup> in SiC Total plant thermal power is 2070 MW  $\geq$  Lifetime of SiC<sub>f</sub>/SiC composites in fusion neutron environment can only now be speculated For a 3% burnup limit (260 dpa, 16,300 He appm, and 6,370 H appm), blanket lifetime is 3.26 FPY Shield/VV is lifetime component with reweldable back  $\succ$  The cusp coils are well protected with the 50 cm shield