


TBR and Shielding Analyses in Support of ST-FNSF Study

L. El-Guebaly, L. Mynsberge, A. Jaber (University of Wisconsin - Madison)

J. Menard, T. Brown (Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory)

SOFE, June 10 – 14, 2013 – San Francisco, CA



Potential Pathways to Fusion Energy



ST-FNSF Goal and Missions

- Goal:** provide technical basis for DEMO through:
 - Design integration
 - Component and materials testing.
- Mission elements include:**
 - Realistic neutron environment for testing
 - > 1 MW/m² NWL at testing components
 - Tritium self-sufficiency
 - Power plant relevant materials
 - Steady state operation
 - Rapid component replacement.

Dose to MgO Insulator of Bitter Coil and PF 3&4 Coils < 10¹¹ rad Limit @ 6 FPY



ST-FNSF Design


Major Radius 1.69 m
Minor Radius 0.97 m
Fusion Power 162 MW

Plant Lifetime ~20 years
Availability 10-50%

6 Full Power Years (FPY)
30% average


Evolution of 3-D TBR

1 m thick **homogeneous** OB DCLL blanket.
2 cm thick W Stabilizing Shell between blanket segments.
No penetrations or TBMs on OB (to be added in future).
1/40th model for 3-D analysis.



- TBR of final design will be < 1.08.
- Reasons:
 - Heterogeneity of blanket (~ 5% lower TBR)
 - Inclusion of OB penetrations and TBMs (~ 5% lower TBR).

Peak Nuclear Heating at Outmost Surface of Centerstack Reaches 4.6 W/cm³




Conclusions

- PF magnets with MgO insulator are well protected.
- Overall TBR could reach unity with extended blanket coverage and minimization of OB penetrations.
- Advanced divertors may call for larger divertor slot that reduces blanket coverage and TBR.
- Smaller machines will have difficulty achieving TBR of 1 since higher fraction of OB is devoted to TBMs and heating ports.

Acknowledgement: work supported by PPPL

IB & OB Radial Builds



NWL Peaks at ~1.5 MW/m² at OB Midplane for Blanket and Materials Testing

