



**Sensitivity of Neutron Heating in Fusion Reactor
Blankets to Nuclear Data**

M.A. Abdou and C.W. Maynard

February 1974

UWFDM-86

FUSION TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

MADISON WISCONSIN

**Sensitivity of Neutron Heating in Fusion
Reactor Blankets to Nuclear Data**

M.A. Abdou and C.W. Maynard

Fusion Technology Institute
University of Wisconsin
1500 Engineering Drive
Madison, WI 53706

<http://fti.neep.wisc.edu>

February 1974

UWFDM-86

Sensitivity of Neutron Heating in Fusion
Reactor Blankets to Nuclear Data

by

M. A. Abdou

and

C. W. Maynard

Nuclear Engineering Department
University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin, 53706

UWFD-86

Submitted to ANS June Meeting, 1974

SENSITIVITY OF NEUTRON HEATING IN FUSION REACTOR BLANKETS TO NUCLEAR DATA

by

M. A. Abdou and C. W. Maynard

University of Wisconsin

A study of the sensitivity of neutron heating in CTR blankets to basic nuclear data has been carried out.⁽¹⁾

Table 1 shows the percentage contribution to neutron heating by reaction type for the most important CTR materials for two reference spectra. These calculations were carried out with kerma factors processed by MACK^(2,3) from ENDF/B3⁽⁴⁾ data. The general conclusion from these results is that reactions such as (n, α) and (n,p) while relatively unimportant for determining the neutron flux are extremely important mechanisms for local energy deposition as they contribute more than 50% in most materials. A relative change in σ for charged particle producing reactions (for which σ is usually small) results in a greater change in neutron heating than that produced by the same relative change in a large σ such as that of elastic scattering.

The Li^7 (n,n' α)t is the most important neutron producing reaction in most blankets. Rosen and Stewart's⁽⁵⁾ data for the secondary neutron energy distribution of Li^7 (n,n' α)t was used as the basis for the ENDF/B3 (MAT 1116) representation. Table 2 shows that the ENDF/B3 representation

consistently overestimates the average secondary neutron energy, $\bar{E}_{n',1}$, compared with the original data. The effects of such changes in $\bar{E}_{n',1}$ on kerma factors are shown in table 2. The pointwise kerma factor for the $(n,n'\alpha)t$ reaction changes by about 15 to 25% when the Rosen and Stewart data is replaced by the ENDF/B3 representation. The resulting change in the neutron heating in Li^7 is about -8%. The relative change in neutron heating in Li^7 was found to be roughly one-third of that in $\bar{E}_{n',1}$ for the $(n,n'\alpha)t$ reaction. A 90% change in the nuclear temperature for all neutron producing reactions in Li^7 results in 30 to 40% change in the neutron heating in Li^7 .

The sensitivity of neutron heating to changes in the angular distribution of elastic scattering in Li^7 was also studied. Ignoring the center of mass anisotropy of elastic scattering increases the neutron heating in Li^7 by about 80% in lithium regions close to the first wall and by 40% in regions close to the shield.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Abdou, "Calculational Methods for Nuclear Heating and Neutronics and Photonics Design for CTR Blankets and Shields," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Nuclear Engineering Department, FDM66 and FDM67 (July 1973).
2. M. A. Abdou, C. W. Maynard, and R. Q. Wright, "MACK: A Computer Program to Calculate Neutron Energy Release Parameters (Fluence-to-Kerma Factors) and Multigroup Neutron Reaction Cross Sections from Nuclear Data in ENDF Format," ORNL-TM-3994 (July 1973).
3. M. A. Abdou and C. W. Maynard, "MACK: A Program to Calculate Neutron Energy Release Parameters....," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. 16, 129 (1973).
4. M. K. Drake, Editor, "Data Formats and Procedures for the ENDF Neutron Cross Section Library," BNL-5027 (ENDF-102, Vol. 1) (October 1970).
5. L. Rosen and L. Stewart, "The Neutron Induced Disintegration of Li^6 and Li^7 by 5 to 14 MeV Incident Neutrons," LA-2643 (1961).

TABLE 1 PERCENTAGE CONTRIBUTION OF REACTION TYPES TO NEUTRON

HEATING IN SOME CTR MATERIALS FOR

a - Typical First Wall Spectrum

Reaction Type Material	Elastic Scattering	Inelastic Scattering ⁺	n,2n	n,γ	n;n' charged particles	n,charged particles
Li-6	5.6	10.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	82.1
Li-7	42.2	7.8	0.09	0.04	47.3	1.8
Be-9	37.7	—	55.1*	0.004	—	7.2
Al-27	13.9	25.7	0.35	.25		59.7
Cr	9.8	9.9	2.3	.03	5.53	72.5
Fe	8.8	8.2	3.3	.01		79.7
Ni	4.3	2.8	0.14	.01	16.9	75.7
Nb	17.6	16.4	15.5	.09		50.5
V	24.8	12.7	12.6	3.8		46.4
Cu	12.1	11.8	4.9	30.5		40.7

b - C/E Spectrum (C = 1.0 above 0.11MeV, C = 0.25 below .11MeV)

Li-6	0.2	.001	0.0	0.0	.24	99.6
Li-7	57.7	10.9	0.3	1.8	29.0	0.4
Be-9	50.0	—	36.6*	.003	—	13.4
Al-27	28.6	21.3	0.1	3.1		47.0
Cr	31.0	17.5	0.6	0.1	2.04	49.8
Fe	19.7	19.4	1.0	0.03		59.8
Ni	8.0	4.6	.03	0.03	4.5	82.9
Nb	30.6	33.3	5.8	0.2		30.1
V	18.7	13.4	1.3	56.1		10.5
Cu	9.2	8.3	22.9	4.0		55.6

⁺Includes the (n;n', charged particles) contribution for some materials.

* (n,2n)α plus (n,n')α plus inelastic.

TABLE 2 Comparison of Rosen & Stewart⁵ and ENDF/B III Average Secondary Neutron Energy of the Lithium-7 (n,n')at Reaction and Effect on Kerma Factors

Energy (MeV)	Average Secondary Neutron Energy		Lithium-7 (n,n')at Kerma Factor*		Lithium-7 Total Kerma Factor*	
	A	B	A	B	A	B
5.11	1.005	0.635	0.368	0.451	2.035	2.118
5.62	1.191	0.811	0.675	0.806	2.190	2.321
6.01	1.504	0.882	0.778	1.015	2.199	2.436
7.03	2.354	1.512	0.927	1.281	2.149	2.503
8.05	3.044	2.315	1.077	1.386	2.257	2.567
9.03	3.543	2.748	1.264	1.597	2.458	2.791
10.06	4.066	3.048	1.459	1.880	2.690	3.111
12.00	5.041	4.285	1.707	1.994	3.007	3.294
13.92	6.007	4.953	1.834	2.189	3.285	3.604

A -- refers to data obtained using ENDF/B3 secondary neutron energy distribution

B -- refers to data obtained using Rosen & Stewart⁵ secondary neutron energy distribution

* in units of MeV . barn/atom