

## **Neutronics and Photonics Study of Fusion Reactor Blankets**

M.A. Abdou and C.W. Maynard

December 1973

UWFDM-75

FUSION TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

MADISON WISCONSIN

## **Neutronics and Photonics Study of Fusion Reactor Blankets**

M.A. Abdou and C.W. Maynard

Fusion Technology Institute University of Wisconsin 1500 Engineering Drive Madison, WI 53706

http://fti.neep.wisc.edu

December 1973

UWFDM-75

Neutronics and Photonics Study of Fusion Reactor Blankets

bу

M. A. Abdou

and

C. W. Maynard

Nuclear Engineering Department The University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706

## December 1973

FDM 75

Summary of a paper to be presented at the First Topical Meeting on the Technology of Controlled Nuclear Fusion in April 1974.

A study of various important aspects in the design of CTR first walls, blankets, and reflectors was carried out  $^{(1)}$ . The following is a summary of the most important results.

Niobium, vanadium, molybdenum, stainless steel and SAP are the prime candidates for use as first wall and structural materials. SAP is 90% pure aluminum strengthened by about 10% by weight  ${
m Al}_2{
m 0}_3$  and its utilization in fusion systems has been proposed (2) as a competitor to vanadium (3) from low radioactivity and afterheat points of view. Table 1 shows a comparison of the radiation damage parameters, tritium production, and nuclear heating for various structural materials in a system consisting of a 1 cm first wall, 42 cm of 95% lithium plus 5% structure, 20 cm stainless steel reflector and 5 cm lithium plus structure. In addition to the  $(n,\alpha)$  and (n,p) reactions, the reaction rates were also calculated for the (n,n'p) and  $(n,n'\alpha)$  reactions. The results show that the contribution of these latter reactions to helium and hydrogen production is quite significant, e.g. the (n,n'p) is larger than the (n,p) reaction rate in a SAP first wall. Table 1 also shows that the nuclear heating in niobium is about 2.3 times that in a vanadium or SAP and 1.2 times that in a stainless steel first wall. The comparison of the total energy deposition in the blanket shows that using niobium increases the recoverable energy by about 1 MeV over stainless steel and vanadium and 0.7 MeV over SAP systems. It can also be seen that the total energy per fusion in these systems is about 19 to 20 MeV (including 3.5 MeV  $\alpha$  s). This is about 2 MeV or more less than previously reported

in the literature. The main reason for the difference is that we have used self consistent and energy preserving sets of neutron kerma factors and gamma production cross sections (4-6). More detailed results, analysis and conclusions are given in the paper.

Table 2 shows the effects of enriching the lithium in Li<sup>6</sup> and adding beryllium on the energy multiplication and tritium production in a blanket similar to that described above with a vanadium first wall and structure. The results show that the gain in energy multiplication as the Li<sup>6</sup> isotropic ratio is increased is only .06% for 15% Li<sup>6</sup>, and 0.5% for 50% Li<sup>6</sup>. However, adding 4 and 10 cm of beryllium to the blanket increases the energy production by 9 and 18%, respectively. Additional investigation showed that enriching lithium in Li<sup>6</sup> can increase the energy multiplication significantly if a few centimeters of beryllium are present in the lithium region.

An intermediate region, called a reflector, between the lithium and shield regions is required. A comparative study of several materials showed that iron-in nonmagnetic form- has several advantages as a reflector material over other possible condidates. A 20 cm thick iron reflector increases the energy production per fusion by about 1.5 MeV relative to a graphite reflector of equal thickness.

An investigation of the associated magnet shield is given in another paper in this conference.

Table 1

Comparison of Neutronics Parameters for Various Structural Materials

First Wall and Str	ucture	Niobium	Vanadium	St. Steel	SAP
Response	Zone†	7.4470			
$(n,\alpha)$ in struc-	3	9.4470	28.9301	124.0960	166.590
tural material	4	4.3312	12.3060	55.1620	87.942
(reactions per	5	0.9119	2.2741	10.3739	20.922
fusion neutron	6	0.4181	1.0062	4.6977	10.1643
x 10 <sup>4</sup> )	S*	15.117	44.536	194.43	285.825
(n,p) in struc-	3	3.1985	5.4179	25.0850	11.7886
tural materials	4	1.5423	2.7168	12.0252	6.8261
(reactions per	5	0.3440	0.6203	2.6478	1.8007
fusion meutron	6	0.1601	0.2915	1.7909	0.8728
$\times 10^3$ )	S*	5.2482	9.0522	41.585	21.3078
displacements per atom per $10^{21}$ fusion neutron	3	10.6349	9.9863	14.3119	
Tritium	т	0.7734	0.9042	0.8161	0.7555
breeding	$^{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{T7}}$	0.4918	0.5547	0.4944	0.5970
brooming	T T	1.2652	1.4589	1.3105	1.3525
Total (Neutro <b>n</b>	3	1.6635	0.7089	1.3748	0.7089
plus gamma)	4	7.8765	7.8981	7.6064	7.6563
heating in MeV	5	2.7961	2.8487	2.6704	2.7892
per fusion neutron	6	1.8562	1.9211	1.7792	1.8835
_	7	2.5263	2.3676	2.1953	2.9535
	S*	16.8921	15.9324	15.7890	16.1758
Total (Neutron plus gamma)Leak-age in MeV/fusion neutron		0.1039	0.1158	0.0973	0.1350

\* Sum over zones 3 through 8

<sup>†</sup> Zone 3 is 1 cm first wall, zones 4, 5, and 6 (20, 12 and 10 cm) are 95% Li plus structure, zone 7 is 20 cm SS reflector, and zone 8 is 5 cm 95% Li plus 5% structure.

Table 2

Effect of Enriching Lithium in Li and adding Beryllium on the Energy Multiplication in the Blanket (Results are normalized to one fusion neutron)

a/o of Li <sup>6</sup> in lithium	7.42*	15.0	30.0	50.0	7.42*	7.42*
v/o of Be in blanket	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	20
Neutron Heating in						
$_{ m Li}^6$	4.9966	6.1338	7.6839	9.3943	6.4462	7.9520
Li <sup>7</sup>	6.0376	5.4082	4.3270	2.9924	4.7795	3.5067
Λ	0.3953	0.3750	0.3590	0.3481	0.3756	0.3528
Fe	0.2340	0.2278	0.2208	0.2153	0.1507	0.0774
Ni	0.0801	0.0785	0.0765	0.0749	0.0519	0.0269
Cr	0.0538	0.0521	0.0502	0.0487	0.0347	0.0179
Be	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2193	4.3891
Total Neutron Heating (MeV)	11.7974	12.2754	12.7174	13.0737	14.0579	16.3497
Total Gamma Heating (MeV)	4.2533	3.7893	3.3851	3.0627	3.4891	2.6623
Total Heating (MeV)	16.0507	16.0647	16.1025	16.1364	17.5470	19.0120
Tritium T6	0.9042	0.9901	1.0529	1.0878	1.2361	1.5803
${\tt Breeding} \qquad {\tt T}_{7}$	0.5547	0.5013	0.4052	0.2824	0.4410	0.3249
È	1.4589	1.4914	1.4581	1.3702	1.6771	1.9052

\*Corresponds to natural lithium

## References

- 1. M. A. Abdou, "Calculational Methods for Nuclear Heating and Neutronics and Photonics Design for CTR Blankets and Shields," PhD Thesis, University of Wisconsin FDM 66 and FDM 67 (July 1973).
- 2. J. R. Powell et al., "Studies of Fusion Reactor Blankets with Minimum Radioactive Inventory and with Tritium Breeding in Solid Lithium Compounds," Brookhaven National Laboratory, BNL-18236 (June 1973).
- 3. Don Steiner and A. P. Fraas, "Preliminary Observations on the Radiological Implications of Fusion Power," Nucl. Safety, <u>13</u> 5 (Sept. Oct. 1972).
- 4. M. A. Abdou, C. W. Maynard and R. Q. Wright, "MACK: A Computer Program to Calculate Neutron Energy Release Parameters (Fluence-to-Kerma Factors) and Multigroup Neutron Reaction Cross Sections From Nuclear Data in ENDF Format," ORNL-TM-3994 (July 1973).
- 5. M. A. Abdou and C. W. Maynard, "MACK: A Program to Calculate Neutron Energy Release Parameters . . .," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 16, 129 (1973).
- 6. M. A. Abdou and C. W. Maynard, "Consistency of Nuclear Data and Processing Codes for Calculation of Nuclear Heating in Fusion Reactors," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 17, 33 (1973).