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U.S. Fusion Energy Sciences Program Mission

“Advance plasma science, fusion science, and fusion technology-- the knowledge base needed for an economically and environmentally attractive fusion energy source.”
Fusion is a Potentially Attractive Domestic Energy Source

- Abundant fuel, available to all nations
  - Deuterium and lithium easily available for thousands of years
- Environmental Advantages
  - No carbon emissions, short-lived radioactivity
- Can’t blow up, resistant to terrorist attack
  - Less than 5 minutes of fuel in the chamber
- Low risk of nuclear materials proliferation
  - No fissile or fertile materials required
- Compact relative to solar, wind and biomass
  - Modest land usage
- Not subject to daily, seasonal or regional weather variation
  - No large-scale energy storage nor long-distance transmission
- Cost of power estimated similar to coal, fission
- Can produce electricity and hydrogen
  - Complements other nearer-term energy sources
FY 2005 Congressional Request
Fusion Energy Sciences Budget

($ in Millions)

- Tokamak: $84.3
- Alternates: $91.1
- NCSX: $16.7
- NSTX: $33.6
- IFE/HEDP: $13.9
- Theory & SciDAC: $28.6
- Technology: $27.8
- General Plasma Science: $11.7
- ITER: $7.0
- Other*: $13.6

*SBIR/STTR
GPP/GPE
ORNL Move
Reserve
Environmental Monitoring

$264.1 M
Published February 2004; electronic version available at www.sc.doe.gov/

Fusion “Broad Goals”

- Demonstrate with burning plasma fusion’s scientific/technological feasibility
- Develop fundamental understanding for predictive capabilities
- Determine most promising approaches and configurations for energy
- Develop new materials, components and technologies for energy
Office of Science Strategic Plan

- Success Indicators ([www.science.doe.gov/measures](http://www.science.doe.gov/measures))
  - Progress in developing benchmarked predictive capability for burning plasma
  - Progress in demonstrating enhanced understanding of magnetic confinement and in improving basis for designing future burning plasma experiments through research on confinement configuration optimization
  - Progress in developing predictability of high-energy density physics including energy applications
“These Department of Energy facilities are used by more than 18,000 researchers from universities, other government agencies, private industry and foreign nations.”

- Secretary of Energy
  Spencer Abraham
“The prospect of a limitless source of clean energy for the world leads with our commitment to join the international fusion energy experiment known as ITER.

This is a Presidential priority with enormous potential. Successful negotiations among the international partners will lead to the first-ever fusion science experiment capable of producing a self-sustaining fusion reaction.

If we reach agreement, ITER will be our top facility.”
### U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science 20-Year Facility Outlook Peak of Cost Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>20 Years from</th>
<th>Peak Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>ITER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ASCR</td>
<td>UltraScale Scientific Computing Capability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Joint Dark Energy Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Linac Coherent Light Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Protein Production and Tags</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Rare Isotope Accelerator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Characterization and Imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>CEBAF Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ASCR</td>
<td>ESnet Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASCR</td>
<td>NERSC Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>BTeV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Linear Collider</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Analysis and Modeling of Cellular Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>SNS 2-4 MW Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>SNS Second Target Station</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Whole Proteome Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Double Beta Decay Underground Detector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>Next Step Spherical Tokamak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>RHIC II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>National Synchrotron Light Source Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Super Neutrino Beam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Advanced Light Source Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>Advanced Photon Source Upgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>aRHIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>Fusion Energy Continuum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>BES</td>
<td>HFIR Second Cold Source and Guide Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>Integrated Beam Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Programs:**
- **ASCR** = Advanced Scientific Computing Research
- **BES** = Basic Energy Sciences
- **BER** = Biological and Environmental Research
- **HEP** = High Energy Physics
- **NP** = Nuclear Physics
Site Selection Negotiations Continue

- On June 18th, 2004, the Third Preparatory (Negotiations) Meeting for ITER Decision Making was held at Ray Orbach’s level. All six ITER Parties were present.

---

Common Message from 3rd Preparatory Meeting for ITER Decision Making

(IAEA Vienna, 18th June 2004)

Delegations from China, European Union, Japan, the Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation, and the United States met at the IAEA headquarters in Vienna on 18th June 2004 to advance the ITER negotiations.

The two potential Host Parties, European Union and Japan, presented their positions, taking account of recent bilateral discussions on a broader approach to realising fusion energy. The parties noted that the contents of these offers were essentially symmetrical and showed a readiness of each of the potential Host Parties to contribute significantly to the realisation of elements of the Broader Approach other than ITER in addition to their contributions to ITER itself.

All Parties stressed the urgency of reaching a rapid resolution of the siting issue so as to move forward to implementation of ITER in a framework of international collaboration.

- Resolution continues to be largely in the hands of the EU and JA.
Path to Selection of US ITER Project Office

**Process**
- OFES opens dialogue with FESAC on selection criteria
- OFES requests proposals to lead Project Office
- INEEL, LLNL, and PPPL/ORNL submit proposals
- SC Merit Review Committee reviews three proposals, holds televideo interviews, evaluates against DOE criteria
- Committee provides evaluation to Ray Orbach

**Selection**
- Ray Orbach considers Committee evaluation and reviews proposals, then selects PPPL/ORNL Partnership
- Secretary Abraham announces selection as important step toward ITER success

**March/July 2003**
- OFES opens dialogue with FESAC on selection criteria

**Dec 2003**
- OFES requests proposals to lead Project Office

**Mar 2004**
- INEEL, LLNL, and PPPL/ORNL submit proposals

**Apr 2004**
- SC Merit Review Committee reviews three proposals, holds televideo interviews, evaluates against DOE criteria

**May 2004**
- Committee provides evaluation to Ray Orbach

**June 2004**
- Ray Orbach considers Committee evaluation and reviews proposals, then selects PPPL/ORNL Partnership

**July 2004**
- Secretary Abraham announces selection as important step toward ITER success

**Next Steps:**
- Define project organization
- US ITER Project Office, under leadership of Ned Sauthoff, works with community to select key personnel
- Consider, define and organize the US ITER/Burning Plasma Program

“*I am confident that our partners in the ITER negotiations will recognize our choice of PPPL/ORNL to manage the U.S. participation in ITER for what it is: the clearest possible indication that our Nation takes ITER – and our role in ITER – very seriously.*”

Secretary Abraham
July 13, 2004
ITER Direct Funding for FY05

Distribution of Funding

- Fusion work in U.S.: $3.9M
- ITER IT Members/Secondee Visitors: $2.0M
- Non-fusion Industry: $1.1M

Total of $7.0M in FY05

Specific Task Areas

- Magnet design and R&D
- PFC design and R&D
- Tritium processing design
- Safety, power supplies, etc.
- Project and procurement management
- Magnets/PFC Secondees
- ICH Visitors
- Diagnostics Visitor
- Strand qualification
- Power Supply/Cooling water cost estimates

Work done in conjunction with VLT activities
International Tokamak Physics Activity (ITPA) and ITER Physics

5th ITPA Coordinating Committee meeting held in Shanghai on June 10-11, 2004:

- Korea joined ITPA.
- Ron Stambaugh is selected as the new Chair of the committee.
- Topical Physics Groups are working on the Tokamak Physics Basis update for submission to Nuclear Fusion In December 2004.

Technical work in ITPA is progressing well:

- Joint experiments among the world tokamaks, coordinated through ITPA and IEA Agreements, are productive.
- Next series of Topical Group meetings will be held in Lisbon after the IAEA Fusion Energy Conference.

We need to improve interaction with the International Team on ITER Physics Tasks:

- ITER relevant experiments and modeling studies should be developed into ITER Physics tasks.
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

C-MOD
Started Operations in October 1991

General Atomics
Doublet III
Started Operations in 1978

National Spherical Torus Experiment

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory
NSTX started Operations in 1999
Scientific Discovery Thru Advanced Computing

- Peer review of new and renewal proposals completed in June 2004
- Two proposals selected for funding
  - Center for Extended Magnetohydrodynamic Modeling, Stephen Jardin PI
    - Further investigation of extended MHD equations, algorithms
    - Focus on problems of interest to burning plasma
    - Begin work on integrated calculation with RF (pace depends on RF theory funding)
  - Center for Gyrokinetic Particle Simulation of Turbulent Transport in Burning Plasmas, W. Lee, PI
    - Study electron transport
    - Work on transport barrier physics
    - Begin to investigate effects of energetic particles on turbulent transport
- Remaining SciDAC ($1 million) funds set aside to begin work on the SciDAC Fusion Simulation Project (FSP) in collaboration with OASCR, which would provide matching funds
The Fusion Simulation Project (FSP) will unify and accelerate progress on a complete, integrated simulation and modeling capability for ITER-class burning plasma.

Creating this capability entails integrating physics that heretofore has largely been considered in isolation.

In FY 2005, OFES and OASCR are planning to begin the first phase of the FSP by soliciting proposals for the initial integration efforts called “Focused Integration Initiatives” in the FESAC Report.

From 1-3 projects would be started in FY 2005 depending on the FY 2006 budget outlook.
National Compact Stellarator Experiment
PPPL/ORNLO

NCSX designed to flexibly access a wide range of magnetic configurations
- Unique feature of NCSX design
- 3 modular coil types
  + TF coils
  + trim coils
  + 6 poloidal field coils
- Will allow systematic study of 3D confinement and stability physics

NCSX configuration designed for improved confinement and stability
- Quasi-axisymmetry
- 3D shaping of magnetic field distribution to increase pressure limit
- Need to measure characteristics of range of configurations as first stage of research investigations.
Map of the HED Universe
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Four Major HEDP Research Areas

1. High energy density physics in astrophysical systems;

2. Beam-induced high energy density physics (Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, heavy ion fusion, high-intensity accelerators, etc.);

3. High energy density physics in Stockpile Stewardship facilities (Omega, Z/ZR, National Ignition Facility, etc); and

4. Ultrafast, Ultraintense Laser Science
Fusion Science Centers

- Competitive peer review in 2004
- 2 centers funded for 5 years, with the possibility of renewal for an additional 5 years

- University of Maryland and UCLA Center will focus on Multiscale Plasma Dynamics using facilities at both of the schools
  - Total funding of $6.4 million over five years
  - Other institutions involved are Princeton University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and the University of Michigan

- The University of Rochester Center will study Extreme States of Matter and Fast Ignition Physics
  - Total funding of $5.5 million over five years
  - Partners include MIT, General Atomics, University of California at San Diego, Ohio State University, UCLA and the University of Texas at Austin
  - Collaboration with the National Nuclear Security Administration programs at Rochester and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
  - For more information see: [http://fsc.lle.rochester.edu/](http://fsc.lle.rochester.edu/)
Enabling Technologies Program

DiMES probe in DIII-D provides data on plasma material interactions.

Pellet Injector in DIII-D for Plasma Fueling

Outside Launch (Existing)
Top Launch (Existing)
Inside Launch (Future)

12.5-m Total Length
Three Bends of ~70-cm Radius
Molecular Dynamics calculation of atomic displacements due to neutron impact.
Select international scientific committee convened to determine whether increased effort on modeling and simulation could bridge gap between data needed for design of advanced nuclear technologies and data from existing experiments

Discussion focused on fusion (where the “gap” is larger)

Clear consensus that IFMIF-like irradiation facility is needed, but no agreement that IFMIF was the best approach

Aggressive theory and modeling effort could reduce the time and experimental investment required for materials development

Report of the
Burning Plasma
Assessment Committee

Released September 2003

- U.S. participation in ITER
- Fusion program priority setting
FESAC Priorities Panel started with a nearly diagonal transformation of the three program goals of the 1996 restructuring…

- Advance plasma science in pursuit of national science and technology goals.
- Develop fusion science, technology, and plasma confinement innovations as the central theme of the domestic program.
- Pursue fusion energy science and technology as a partner in the international effort.

Into three “overarching themes”:

- O1. Understand the dynamics of matter and fields in the high temperature plasma state.
- O2. Create and understand a controlled, self-heated, burning starfire on earth.
- O3. Make fusion power practical.
The U.S. and ITER

Science Opportunities

U.S. Direct Experience

ITER Design

U.S. Industry and ITER

Technology Opportunities

The Path to Fusion Energy

Office of Science
U.S. Department of Energy