

# Neutronics Issues for Advanced Ferritic Steel

Mohamed Sawan

Fusion Technology Institute  
The University of Wisconsin

IEA Workshop on  
Advanced Ferritic Steel Blanket Designs, Materials and Technologies  
San Diego, CA  
April 15-16, 2002

# Ferritic Alloys Considered



- Neutronics features compared for three representative ferritic alloys
  - Conventional ferritic/martensitic steel
    - Commercial alloy F82H
  - ODS ferritic steel
    - Commercial alloy MA957
  - Nano-composited ferritic steel (NCF)
    - 12YWT alloy

## Composition of Alloys Used in Assessment (wt%)

Constituent Element	F82H	MA957	12YWT
Cr	8	13.87	12.58
Si	0.1	0.04	0.1
Ni	0.05	0.13	0.27
Ti	0.005	1.05	0.35
Mn	0.1	0.06	0.05
W	2	-	2.44
Mo	0.002	0.3	0.02
C	0.1	0.014	0.052
O	0.005	0.22	0.16
Al	0.01	0.1	-
Ta	0.04	-	-
V	0.2	-	-
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	0.25	0.25
Fe	Balance	Balance	Balance

- Impurities needed for activation and safety assessment
- Impurities not available for MA957 and 12YWT and are not included in assessment

# Model Used for Neutronics Comparison



- Typical blanket with molten salt Flibe as breeder and coolant
- 0.5 cm first wall
- 5 cm multiplier zone (60% Be, 35% Flibe, 5% structure)
- 60 cm blanket zone (95% Flibe, 5% structure)
- Lithium enrichment varied
- Nuclear parameters compared for F82H, MA957, 12YWT, V4Cr4Ti, SiC/SiC

# Local Tritium Breeding Ratio



	Natural Li	40% <sup>6</sup> Li	90% <sup>6</sup> Li
F82H	1.366	1.423	1.386
MA957	1.422	1.430	1.386
12YWT	1.357	1.421	1.385
V4Cr4Ti	1.444	1.460	1.417
SiC/SiC	1.412	1.385	1.331

- TBR peaks at ~40% <sup>6</sup>Li with vanadium and ferritic alloys
- TBR is highest with V alloy and lowest with SiC/SiC
- TBR slightly higher with MA957 that does not include tungsten compared to that with F82H and 12YWT

# Local Energy Multiplication (M)



	Natural Li	40% <sup>6</sup> Li	90% <sup>6</sup> Li
F82H	1.267	1.257	1.254
MA957	1.264	1.257	1.254
12YWT	1.284	1.268	1.262
V4Cr4Ti	1.270	1.256	1.251
SiC/SiC	1.239	1.238	1.237

- M is highest with ferritic alloys and lowest with SiC/SiC
- M slightly higher with 12YWT compared to that with F82H and MA957

## Damage Parameters at FW for 1 MW.y/m<sup>2</sup>



	dpa	He appm	H appm
F82H (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	11.70	146.5	582.0
MA957 (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	11.62	142.3	568.9
12YWT (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	11.65	144.6	572.2
V4Cr4Ti (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	12.47	47.8	436
SiC/SiC (nat. Li)	11.38	1327	929

- Peak damage parameters comparable for three ferritic alloys with MA957 having slightly lower damage parameters

# Damage Attenuation in Blanket



	Attenuation Factor for dpa
F82H (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	679
MA957 (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	684
12YWT (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	679
V4Cr4Ti (40% <sup>6</sup> Li)	514
SiC/SiC (nat. Li)	401

- Using ferritic alloys in blanket results in better shielding characteristics compared to blankets with V alloy or SiC/SiC structure
- Blanket shielding characteristics are comparable for the three ferritic alloys with MA957 having a slight edge



# Activation Considerations



- Neutronics features (TBR, M, dpa, He,...) are comparable for the three ferritic steel alloys with only very small differences yielding negligible impact on performance
- Impact of difference in elemental composition and impurity levels on safety and waste disposal expected to be more pronounced
- Although composition is dominated by Fe and Cr which do not pose serious safety and waste disposal problems, small amounts of other alloying elements or impurities could be a concern

# Decay Heat Considerations



- Cr and Fe are among elements producing the least amount of decay heat
- Mn, W, and Ta are among elements that produce large decay heat. However, their small wt.% in the ferritic steel alloys is not expected to significantly influence the total decay heat generated
- Regarding the dose resulting from accidental release of activated material the elements Ta, Mo, Mn, and W are of concern. Large W content in F82H and 12YWT could be a safety concern. Mo and Mn can be reduced in 12YWT without degrading properties
- See Safety presentation by Brad Merrill for detailed discussion

# Waste Disposal Considerations



- Alloying elements and impurities that produce long-lived radionuclides should be eliminated or reduced to allow for shallow-land burial of radwaste
- Among major constituent elements in the three ferritic steel alloys Mo, Ni, and Al could pose waste disposal problems
  - Mo ->  $^{94}\text{Nb}$  ( $2 \times 10^4$  yr),  $^{93}\text{Mo}$  (3000 yr),  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  ( $2.1 \times 10^5$  yr)
  - Ni ->  $^{59}\text{Ni}$  ( $8 \times 10^4$  yr)
  - Al ->  $^{26}\text{Al}$  ( $7.2 \times 10^5$  yr)
- Y produces very small amount of two long lived isotopes ( $^{90}\text{Sr}$ ,  $^{87}\text{Rb}$ ) through multiple reactions and long decay chains and is not expected to be a waste disposal issue

# Waste Disposal Considerations



- Limits on element concentration for shallow-land burial of FW exposed to 20 MWy/m<sup>2</sup> were determined [R. Kluch, E. Cheng, M. Grossbeck, E. Bloom, “Impurity effects on reduced activation ferritic steels developed for fusion applications,” J. Nucl. Materials, 280 (2000) 353-359]
- Restrictions on content of major constituent elements are Mo (31 wppm), Al (660 wppm), Ni (15%)
- Concentration of Mo (200 wppm) in NCF alloy 12YWT exceeds waste disposal limit and needs to be reduced
- Concentrations of Mo (3000 wppm) and Al (1000 wppm) in MA957 exceed waste disposal limits and need significant reduction
- See waste disposal presentation by Ed Cheng for details

# Waste Disposal Considerations



An attempt should be made to eliminate or limit concentrations of impurities to the following levels [R. Kluch, E. Cheng, M. Grossbeck, E. Bloom, “Impurity effects on reduced activation ferritic steels developed for fusion applications,” J. Nucl. Materials, 280 (2000) 353-359]

Element	wppm
Ag	1.2
Bi	22
Cd	1400
Ir	22
Nb	2.4
Os	560
Pd	110
Dy	4.6
Er	28
Ho	0.7
Tb	1.9
Eu	1.3

# Conclusions



- Neutronics features compared for conventional F/M steel alloy F82H, ODS alloy MA957 and NCF alloy 12YWT
- Neutronics features (TBR, M, dpa, He,...) are comparable for the different ferritic steel alloys with only very small differences yielding negligible impact on performance
- Impact of difference in elemental composition and impurity levels on safety and waste disposal is more pronounced
- Large W content in F82H and 12YWT could be a safety concern
- Mo need to be reduced in 12YWT and Mo and Al should be reduced in MA957 for shallow-land burial
- Reduction of impurity levels below specified limits is required to allow for shallow-land burial