

Chapt. 10 Fuel Element Performance

10.1) Important Features

Oxide fuel characteristics

- **Temperature ($T_{max} \approx 2800$ °C)**
 - **Sintering**
 - **Grain growth**
 - **Diffusion**
- $\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta x}$
 - **Stress**
 - **Pore closing**
 - **FP redistribution**

10.1.1) Oxide Fuels- (started \approx 1955) (Fig/Table)

Most important properties of mixed oxides depend on the O/M ratio

$$q = \frac{N_{pu}}{\sum N \text{ heavy metal}}$$

$$x = \frac{N_{ox}}{\sum N \text{ heavy metal}}$$

for example..... $(U_{1-q}Pu_q)O_{2\pm x}$

Hyperstoichiometry $O/M > 2.00$

Hypostoichiometry $O/M < 2.00$

Disadvantages of Oxide Fuels

- 1.) Low U density (larger core)
- 2.) Low thermal conductivity
(requires thin rods, produces high T)

Why not use UC, UN, UP, US, etc.?

10.1.2 Fission Properties

Burnup

$$\beta = \frac{\text{Number of Fissions}}{\text{Initial Number of Heavy Metal Atoms}}$$

Rule of Thumb

$$1 \text{ at\% B.U.} \approx 10,000 \frac{\text{MWd}}{\text{MtU}} \quad @ \quad 200 \frac{\text{MeV}}{\text{fission}}$$

Fissioning of 1 g of fissile material \approx 1MWd

Maximum burn up with no Pu credit;

- *Natural U* **6650** $\frac{\text{MWd}}{\text{MtU}}$
- *15% enriched* **142,500** $\frac{\text{MWd}}{\text{MtU}}$

Major Differences Between LWR & LMFBR Fuels

	<u>LWR</u>	<u>LMFBR</u>
Ave neutron energy, eV	0.03	500,000
Fissile isotope	^{235}U	^{239}Pu
σ_f, barns	550	1.8
Neutron flux, (rel)	1	300
Power density, (rel)	1	3 (why?)
Burn up, %	3	10
Enrichment, %	3	15

Differences Between LWR and LMFBR Fuel Assemblies

	LWR	LMFBR
Damage to clad	1	100
Fuel pin diameter, mm	11	6
Core fuel fraction	-	Higher
Cladding	-	Hotter
Size of Core	-	Smaller (no moderator needed)

See Table 10.2 and figures 10.1 thru 10.4

10.2 Thermal Properties

10.2.1 Melting Points

$$T_{MP} \text{ of } UO_2 = 2865 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$$

(2847 °C in some papers)

Several Factors Influence the Melting Point

- *Stoichiometry (phase diagram)*
- *Mixed Oxide ,UO₂ -PuO₂ , (2 figs see correc.)*
- *Burn -Up (figure + table)*

10.2.2 Thermal Expansion

Problems -Cladding stress, Poor heat transfer

Stoichiometry Effect-Figure 10.8

10.2.3 Specific Heat (See Chapter 1)

(Important for dynamic behaviour, $\frac{k}{\rho C_p}$)

$$C_p = \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta T} \right)_p = C_v + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 V}{\beta} \right) T$$

thermal expansion Molar Volume

compressibility

Figure 10.10

Corrections to Olander Book
from Nuclear System Handbook (NSH)

Melting Point, °C

Mole Fraction PuO₂	Solidus	NSH Liquidus	Liquidus Olander
0.0	2847	2847	2865
0.2	2728	2767	
0.4	2632	2685	≈2700
0.6	2553	2600	≈2600
0.8	2487	2530	
1.0	2428	2428	≈2360

Density:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{MW metal atoms}}{\text{MW nat. U}} \left[5875.5 + 4.97(\% \text{PuO}_2) + 2540 \frac{\text{O}}{\text{M}} \right] \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$$

Effect of Burnup on MP of Mixed UO₂-PuO₂

For 20-25% PuO₂
from NSH

<u>Burn up</u> <u>MWd/MtU</u>	<u>Change in</u> <u>Melting Point °C</u>
15,000	-62
25,000	-64
50,000	-69
75,000	-74
100,000	-80

Table 10.2 Comparison of Fuel Element Characteristics		
	Thermal Reactor	Fast Reactor
Fuel	UO₂	(U,Pu)O_{1.96}
Fuel Pellet Density (% of theoretical)	92	90
Max. fuel centerline temperature (overpower condition)°C	2450	2800
Cladding	Zircaloy-4	316 Stainless Steel
Max. cladding mid- wall temperature °C	380	660
Coolant temperature, °C	H₂O, 280-320	Na, 470-650
Maximum rod linear power, W/cm	620	550
Fuel wrapper assembly	Square, 30x30	Hexagonal, 13 cm across flats
# of pins in assembly	200	220
Fuel-rod outside diameter, mm	10.7	6.3
Cladding thickness, mm	0.6	0.4
Initial fuel-cladding radial gap, mm	0.08	0.07
Length of fueled portion, cm	365	90